Planning and Licensing Committee 10/August2022



Minutes of a meeting of Planning and Licensing Committee held on Wednesday, 10 August 2022.

Councillors present:

Ray Brassington - Chair Patrick Coleman - Vice Chair

Stephen Hirst Julia Judd Gary Selwyn
Sue Jepson Andrew Maclean Steve Trotter

Officers present:

Alexander Kirk – Lawyer
Mike Napper – Major Developments and Appeals Manager
Martin Perks – Principal Planning Officer
Harrison Bowley – Senior Planning Case Officer
Caleb Harris – Democratic Services
Wayne Smith – Democratic Services

III Apologies

Apologies were received from Councillors Mark Harris, Dilys Neill and Clive Webster

112 Substitute Members

Councillor Joe Harris substituted for Councillor Mark Harris and Councillor Juliet Layton substituted for Councillor Dilys Neill

II3 Declarations of Interest

Councillor Joe Harris made a Declaration of Interest in the first scheduled application as he lived in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development. Councillor Harris withdrew from the Committee until the first application had been concluded.

There were no Declarations of Interest from Officers.

II4 Minutes

Minute 105 had confirmed that the title of Chair and Vice Chair would be identified in the Councillors Present list. This was noted for correction by Democratic Services.

RESOLVED: The Committee agreed that, subject to the amendment being made, the Minutes were a correct record of the meeting held on 15th June 2022

Voting Record – For 6, Against 0, Abstentions 3, Absent 2,

115 Chair's Announcements (if any)

The Chair announced that:

- In September, an update on the Rencomb Airfield situation will be presented immediately before the committee meeting (approx. 9.15am)
- In October a refresher session on licensing will be held immediately before the committee meeting.
- In November a Planning related presentation (lines of sight or biodiversity) will be held immediately before the committee meeting.

116 Schedule of Applications

22/02218/PLP - Permission in Principle for the erection of a detached dwelling with associated works at Land Adjacent To The Malt House, Perrotts Brook, Bagendon Gloucestershire GL7 7DT

The Principle Planning Case Officer introduced the application for permission in principle for the erection of a single dwelling (18/02935/FUL). The area, site and planning history of the site were described and presented. The proposed location is in an Area of Outstanding Beauty (AONB) and close to listed buildings.

The following people addressed the Committee: Simon Le-Galloudec (written submission read out) – Objector

The Committee noted the location maps of the application, plans and photographs of the site.

The Committee noted that Permission in Principle applications only required submission of a site location plan, form and fee and further details could not be requested from the applicant before making a decision.

The Committee noted the application site was the subject of a previous application that was refused at appeal.

The Committee asked what had changed between the previous and current applications that would warrant a new application. The Principal Planning Case Officer explained that the current details indicated a dwelling in the southern part of the site in this instance. The Principal Planning Case Officer also stated that the applicant was a close relative of a Ward Member and referral to the Planning and Licensing Committee was therefore required under the Scheme of Delegation, irrespective of any changes.

The Committee noted that applications for Permission in Principle could be used to see if permission may be possible, without the costs of plans and reports required for an Outline application or Full application.

The Committee noted that the previous Refusal decision had been taken into consideration in reaching the current recommendation to refuse, and this would also be taken into consideration by the Planning Inspectorate in the event of any future Appeal.

The Committee noted that there had been no change in policy, nor circumstances to warrant a different decision on the principle.

Planning and Licensing Committee 10/August2022

Councillor Layton proposed and Councillor Judd seconded that the application was **REFUSED** for the reasons provided by the Planning Officer

Voting Record – For 9, Against 0, Abstentions 0, Absent 2,

The Committee agreed to REFUSE the application in agreement with the recommendations of the Planning Case Officer

21/03309/FUL Change of use of ground floor from Tea Room to unrestricted C3 residential use at Badgers Hall, High Street Chipping Campden, Gloucestershire GL55 6HB

The Senior Planning Case Officer introduced the application to change the use of Badgers Tea Room, an established business based on the High Street in Chipping Campden Town Centre, to C3 unrestricted residential use. The Tea Room was one of the "main town centre uses" as defined in the NPPF. Chipping Campden was allocated as a Key Centre in the Cotswold Local Plan. The commercial unit was located in the heart of the Town Centre in a prime retail position on Chipping Campden's famous High Street, which was very popular with tourists.

The following people addressed the Committee:
Councillor Patrick Spink (written statement read out) – Town/Parish Council
Chris Wilkinson (SF Planning) – Applicant's Agent
Elizabeth Devas (Campden Society) (written submission read out) – Objector
Councillor Stowe (substituting for Councillor Blomefield) – Ward Member

The Committee noted that the property appeared to have been "...continually actively and effectively marketed for a period of at least 12 months.." as this had resulted in 14 property viewings and three offers to buy. These, however, did not reach the valuation required by the sellers and their (two) estate agents.

The Committee noted that within the Chipping Campden's High Street, three other excommercial properties had been permitted C3 unrestricted residential change of use, and one had been refused. Each case had been evaluated on its own merits.

The Committee noted that a direct comparison with the loss/conversion of rural public houses, as the impact of the loss of these in town centres was considered contextually less that in rural areas, where they could be considered community assets.

The Committee noted that the Badger Tea Room would not be considered a unique facility as there were four other tea and coffee houses along the High Street and 15-20 café/restaurants offering various similar services.

The Committee noted that Local Plan Policy EC8 considered properties within the town centre boundary equally, irrespective of how close they were located to the geographic centre of the town.

The Committee noted that conversion of town centre commercial properties to unrestricted residential use has sometimes had a detrimental effect in other Cotswold towns. Conversely when retail/commercial properties were retained and marketed effectively, new commercial owners could be found.

Planning and Licensing Committee 10/August2022

Councillor Joe Harris proposed and Councillor Hirst seconded that the application was **PERMITTED** for the reasons provided by the Senior Planning Case Officer.

Councillor Jepson proposed and Councillor Judd seconded that the application was REFUSED for the reasons provided by both Ward Members.

*As the vote was a tie, the Chair exercised the casting vote TO PERMIT THE APPLICATION.

Voting Record – *For 5, *Against 5, Abstentions 0, Absent 1,

The Committee agreed to PERMIT the application in agreement with the recommendations of the Senior Planning Case Officer.

As the first vote had been carried, the proposal to refuse was not voted upon.

117 Sites Inspection Briefing

Members for Wednesday 7th September 2022 (if required)
Councillors Ray Brassington, Julia Judd, Stephen Hirst, Steve Trotter, Gary Selwyn

118 Licensing Sub-Committee

Members for 31st August 2022 (if required) Councillors Ray Brassington, Patrick Coleman, Andrew Maclean, Dilys Neill, Gary Selwyn

The Meeting commenced at 2.00 pm and closed at 3.15 pm

Chair

(END)